Corequisite Statistics Student Surveys – Quarterly Report Prepared by Helen Burn, Jan 10, 2020

OVERVIEW OF SAMPLE AND METHODS

Each term of the Highline Corequisite Mathematics Initiative, we administer surveys to MathP 146 students at the end of the term.

		Frequency
	140.	Frequency
KValid	A Spr 19	25
	B Spr 19	25
	C F19	27
	D F19	21
	H W20	20
	I W20	21
	J Sp 20	13
	K Sp 20	10
	L Su 20	17
	M F 20	13
	Total	162

OUR CURRENT SAMPLE

MathP 146 student responses are compared with a MATH& 146 baseline comprising three sections (n = 77) taught by two different instructors in Fall 2019. A fourth class was surveyed but the sample size was very low, with only 13 student attending on the day the survey was administered. We examined the responses on the 13 present and deduced that they were not representative of Math& 146 generally (see Fall 2019 analysis for details), so these 13 students were left out of the baseline. Instructors for the Math& 146 comparison courses were selected because they were known to be enthusiastic about teaching statistics and were known to incorporate group work and student-led projects. Thus, we felt they would be a good comparison group with the MathP 146 instructors who were committed to building community in their classrooms and contextualizing the course content to build students' perceptions of relevance. The faculty who participated in the fall surveys included two women, two men, two faculty of color, one part-time faculty, one faculty in the tenure process, and two with tenure.

The survey questions were designed around four focal areas: 1) Learning; 2) Support; 3) Contextualization and Relevance; 4) Course Logistics. The final survey questions were rearranged to mix the presentation of focal areas and used a 4-point, forced response Likert scale: 1 – strongly disagree; 2 – disagree somewhat; 3 – agree somewhat; 4 – strongly agreed. Chi square tests of independence were run to assess whether there was an association between responses and type of course taken (MathP or Math&146). A final section of the survey included student demographics. A copy of the survey is included at the end of this document. Note 1/7/21: We have had low response rates since covid (spr 20, Su 20, F20). Because of this, I have separated out these results in red in the tables below. Also because of this, the comparison of corequisite MathP 146 with Math& 146 is the orriginal analysis conducted in Fall 2019 and have not been updated.

Survey questions		
LEARNING	Results across MathP 146 (n = 139 SpFall2019, W2020) (Sp2020 – n = 23 (2 sec), Su2020 – n = 17, Fall2020 – n= 13 (1 sec)	Comparison to Math& 146 baseline (n = 77, Fall 2019)
Before I took MathP	21% agreed strongly (30%, 18%, 23%)	31% agreed strongly
146, I was confident in my ability to succeed in	28% agreed somewhat (30%, 41%, 29%)	48% agreed somewhat
the course.**	31%)	1% disagreed strongly
	16% disagreed strongly (17%, 12%, 8%)	
**** 4 01		Collapsed to: disagree, agree sw,
** <i>p</i> < .01		agree strongly X^2 (2, 212) = 17,7226, $n = 0.00$
		$X^{-}(2, 213) = 17.7326, p = .000$
I feel I have mastered	55% agree strongly (52%,71%, 31%)	46% agree strongly
the basic concepts and	40% agree somewhat (43%, 29%, 62%)	46% agree somewhat
skills covered in this		
class		
	Variability across MathP sections (min =	
	31% strongly agree; max = 76% strongly	
	73% agreed strongly (83% 94% 54%)	64% agreed strongly
Lam confident I will	24% agreed somewhat (17%, 6%, 46%)	33% agreed somewhat
pass MathP 146 with a		
2.0 or higher	Variability between MathP sections (min	
	= 54%, max = 95% agreed strongly)	
I am glad I chose to	77% agreed strongly (91%, 88%, 69%)	58% agreed strongly
take MathP 146	20% agreed somewhat (9%, 6%, 31%)	33% agreed somewhat
corequisite course		
(Math& 146 + Math87)	Variability between MathP sections (min	Collapsed to disagree, agree sw,
or Math& 146*	= 60%, max = 90% agreed strongly)	agree strongly
n < 05		X ² (2, 214) = 8.2352, p = .0163
$\mu < .05$		
Overall Lam satisfied	72% agreed strongly (78%, 94%, 69%)	58% strongly agreed
with this course	21% agreed somewhat (17%, 6%, 31%)	35% agreed somewhat

	Variability between MathP sections (min	
	= 62%, max = 80% agreed strongly)	
Conclusion: MathP	and are more likely to be glad they chose	Very high level of satisfaction in the
students arrive feeling	the course.	covid spring 2020 remote sections
less prepared than		1/7/21: A slight shift downward to
Math& 146 students		agree somewhat on many of these
but leave feeling		variables; but the sample size is
similarly that they have		really too small to draw any
mastered the course		conclusions.
objectives		

	Results across MathP 146	Comparison to Math& 146	
AND RELEVANCE	n = 139 Sprail2019, W2020 (Sp2020 - $n = 17$, Fall2020 - $n = 17$	baseline (n = 77, Fail 2019)	
	13)		
	42% agreed strongly (48%, 65%, 23%)	17% agreed strongly	
	43% agreed somewhat (44%, 29%, 62%)	46% agreed somewhat	
What I learned in this	9% disagreed somewhat(n = 1 in all	31% disagreed somewhat	
class is useful in my daily	terms)	7% disagreed strongly	
life**	6% disagreed strongly (n = 1 or less in all		
	terms)	X^{2} (3, 216) = 24.9167, p = .000	
** <i>p</i> < .01			
	Variability between MathP sections		
	(min = 23%, max = 67% agreed strongly)		
	35% agreed strongly (48%, 65%, 8%)	18% agreed strongly	
In this class, I learned	43% agreed somewhat (35%, 29%, 69%)	40% agreed somewhat	
ideas			
or concepts that connect	Variability between MathP sections		
to my culture or	(min = 8% agreed strongly to max 65%)	X^{2} (3, 213) = 13.718, p = .003	
community**			
** 04			
**p < .01	550 (20%	
What I learned in this	55% agreed strongly (39%, 71%, 39%)	30% agreed strongly	
class is	37% agreed somewnat (39%, 24%, 54%)	44% agreed somewhat	
directly relevant to my		Due to low cell count L collapsed to	
program of study**	Variability between MathP sections	3 categories: disagree agree sw	
	min = 15% may = 71% agreed	agree strongly	
** <i>p</i> < .01	strongly)	X^2 (2, 164) = 14.5671 p = .001	
The material in this	66% agreed strongly (61%, 77%, 46%)	35% agreed strongly	
course was interesting to	26% agreed somewhat (30%, 24%, 46%)	53% agreed somewhat	
me**		5	
	Variability between MathP sections	Due to low cell count I collapsed to	
	(min = 46%, max = 80% agreed strongly)	3 categories: disagree, agree sw,	
		agree strongly	
** <i>p</i> < .01		$X^{2}(2, 214) = 19.5388, p = .000$	
Conclusion: We are	However, there is much variability	1/7/21: Slight shift downward to	
achieving our goal of	across sections suggesting that we need	agree somewhat for Fall 2020 but	
contextualizing the	to redouble efforts around relevance.	overall 8% disagreed useful	
<mark>material as MathP</mark>		somewhat or strongly out of 190	
students consistently		student (92% agreed interesting.	
report higher levels of			

perception of relevance	
<mark>and interest</mark>	

COURSE SUPPORTS	Results across MathP 146 (n = 139 SpFall2019, W2020) (Sp2020 – n = 23, Su2020 – n = 17, Fall2020 – n= 13)	Comparison to Math& 146 baseline (n = 77, Fall 2019)
	71% agreed strongly (83%, 82%, 62%)	55% agreed strongly
This course helped me learn how to study and	28% agreed somewhat (13%, 18%, 31%)	39% agreed somewhat
be more successful in	Overall upward trend across the terms:	Due to low cell count I collapsed
statistics*	60-70% in Spring 2019 pilot; 80 and 81% in winter 2020 courses.	into two categories: 1, 2, 3 and 4
		X^{2} (1, 213) = 5.533, p = .0187
* <i>p</i> < .05		
Students in this class helped each other**	79% agreed strongly (78%, 53%, 69%) 18% agreed somewhat (17%, 41%, 15%) Variability between MathP sections	46% agreed strongly 44% agreed somewhat Due to low cell count I collapsed to 3 categories: disagree, agree sw.
**p < .01	(min = 53%, max = 90% agreed strongly)	agree strongly X^2 (2, 213) = 24.4576, p = .000
	70% agreed strongly (70%, 82%, 62%)	49% agreed strongly
The course materials for this	23% agreed somewhat (26%, 18% 31%)	40% agreed somewhat
course helped me succeed*	Variability between MathP sections (min = 50%, max = 82% agreed strongly)	Due to low cell count I collapsed to 3 categories: disagree, agree sw, agree strongly X^2 (2, 214) = 9.0697, p = .0107
*p < .05		
The extra time in this class was essential to	75% agree strongly (78%, 77%, 69%) 21% agree somewhat (17%, 12%, 31%)	N/A
neiping me succeed	max = 90% strongly agree)	
	15% agreed strongly (4%, 0%, 0%)	8% agreed strongly
The workload in this	21% agreed somewhat (13%, 19%, 31%)	8% agreed somewhat
course was	32% disagreed somewhat (48%, 38%,	42% disagreed somewhat
unreasonable	31% disagreed strongly (35% $11%$ 30%)	42% disagreed strongly
	Variability between MathP sections (min = 3.7%, max = 35% strongly agreed)	X ² (3, 212) = 10.558, p = .0140
* <i>p</i> < .05		

	55% agreed strongly (48%, 77%, 62%)	58% agreed strongly
I had enough time	30% agreed somewhat (39%, 24%, 16%)	35% agreed somewhat
outside of class to		
complete the work for	Variability between MathP sections (min	
this class	= 45%, max = 71% agreed strongly)	
Conclusion: MathP are		
finding the course		
supports adequate but		
do report more		
workload than Math&		
146 students but also		
report that they have		
enough time to		
complete the work		

COURSE LOGISTICS	Results across MathP 146 (n = 139 SpFall2019, W2020) (Sp2020 - n = 23, Su2020 - n = 17, Fall2020 - n= 13)	Comparison to Math& 146 baseline (n = 77, Fall 2019)
I recommend the course stays at 10 credits	77% agreed strongly (78%, 82%, 85%) 17% agreed somewhat (22%, 18%, 15%) Variability between MathP sections (min = 60%, max = 90% agreed strongly)	NA
I recommend the course be reduced to 8 credits and meet less often (New Q for Winter 2020, n = 41)	5% agreed strongly (13%, 0%, 0%) 18% agreed somewhat (13%, 0%, 8%) 38% disagreed somewhat (30%. 35%, 15%) 40% disagreed strongly (44%, 65%, 77%) ECE students (Section I class met 5 hours on Saturday) were overrepresented among agree. 9 students agreed (2 strongly and 7 somewhat), 7 of whom were ECE (7/9). Similarly LC students more often said agree.	NA
If the class met less often, I would still be successful (new question for W2020)	20% agreed strongly (14%. 12%, 0%) 37% agreed somewhat (23%, 29%, 31%) 32% disagreed somewhat (36%, 41%, 31%) 12% disagreed strongly (27%, 18%, 39%) ECE students are overrepresented amongst those who agree (17 of the 23/41 who agreed) In the general section W20, 0% strongly agreed and 30% (n = 6) agreed somewhat and 70% disagreed. Possible similar trend for learning community cohortbut is this due to instructor or students?) 4 students in covid fall 2020 agreed somewhat. The sample is too small. Could they be meaning hybrid?	
I had difficulty paying for the class** ** $p < .01$ Due to low cell count, collapsed to 3 categories: disagree strongly, sw, agree (sw or str). X^2 (3, 209) = 13.905, $p = .003$	 15% agreed strongly (9%, 12%, 8%) 25% agreed somewhat (30%, 29%, 15%) 18% disagreed somewhat (26%, 12%, 31%) 42% disagreed strongly (35%, 47%, 46%) Variability between MathP sections (min = 4.2%, max = 29% agreed strongly) 	4% agreed strongly 18% agreed somewhat 38% disagreed somewhat 40% disagreed strongly

Below is the breakdown by section on these questions given concerns about credit load: 1 - disagree strongly, 2 - disagree somewhat, 3 - agree somewhat, 4 - agree strongly

Section * 15. beginning W20: If the class met left often, I would still be successful Crosstabulation

			0 0		· · ·		
			1	2	3	4	Total
Section	н	Count	4	10	6	0	20
		% within Section	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	1	Count	1	3	9	8	21
		% within Section	4.8%	14.3%	42.9%	38.1%	100.0%
	J	Count	2	3	5	3	13
		% within Section	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	23.1%	100.0%
	К	Count	4	5	0	0	9
		% within Section	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	L –	Count	3	7	5	2	17
	su20	% within Section	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%	11.8%	100.0%
	M – f20	Count	5	4	4	0	13
		% within Section	38.5%	30.8%	30.8%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	19	32	29	13	93
		% within Section	20.4%	34.4%	31.2%	14.0%	100.0%

15. beginning W20: If the class met left often, I would still be successful

Section * 20 (beginning W20). I recommend the course be reduced to 8 credits and meet less often) Crosstabulation

			meet less often)				
			1	2	3	4	Total
Section	Н	Count	11	7	2	0	20
		% within Section	55.0%	35.0%	10.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	I.	Count	5	8	5	2	20
		% within Section	25.0%	40.0%	25.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	J	Count	4	4	3	2	13
		% within Section	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	100.0%
	K	Count	6	3	0	1	10
		% within Section	60.0%	30.0%	0.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	L –	Count	11	6	0	0	17
	su20	% within Section	64.7%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	M –	Count	10	2	1	0	13
	F20	% within Section	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	47	30	11	5	93
		% within Section	50.5%	32.3%	11.8%	5.4%	100.0%

20 (beginning W20). I recommend the course be reduced to 8 credits and

Section * 8. I recommend the course stay at 10 credits (not asked of Math& 146) Crosstabulation

			146)				
			1	2	3	4	Total
Section	А	Count	1	0	4	20	25
		% within Section	4.0%	0.0%	16.0%	80.0%	100.0%
	В	Count	1	2	6	16	25
		% within Section	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%	100.0%
	С	Count	1	2	3	21	27
		% within Section	3.7%	7.4%	11.1%	77.8%	100.0%
	D	Count	0	1	1	18	20
		% within Section	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	90.0%	100.0%
	Н	Count	0	0	2	18	20
		% within Section	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
	1	Count	0	1	7	12	20
		% within Section	0.0%	5.0%	35.0%	60.0%	100.0%
	J	Count	0	0	3	10	13
		% within Section	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	76.9%	100.0%
	к	Count	0	0	2	8	10
		% within Section	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
	L	Count	0	0	3	14	17
		% within Section	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	82.4%	100.0%
	М	Count	0	0	2	11	13
		% within Section	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	3	6	33	148	190
		% within Section	1.6%	3.2%	17.4%	77.9%	100.0%

8. I recommend the course stay at 10 credits (not asked of Math&

Section * 13. I had difficulty paying for the class Crosstabulation

			13. I had difficulty paying for the class				
			1	2	3	4	Total
Section	А	Count	11	5	7	1	24
		% within Section	45.8%	20.8%	29.2%	4.2%	100.0%
	В	Count	12	2	6	5	25
		% within Section	48.0%	8.0%	24.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	С	Count	10	7	6	4	27

		% within Section	37.0%	25.9%	22.2%	14.8%	100.0%
	D	Count	10	2	6	2	20
		% within Section	50.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	100.0%
	Н	Count	6	5	4	3	18
		% within Section	33.3%	27.8%	22.2%	16.7%	100.0%
	I	Count	6	3	4	5	18
		% within Section	33.3%	16.7%	22.2%	27.8%	100.0%
	J	Count	5	2	4	2	13
		% within Section	38.5%	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	100.0%
	К	Count	3	4	3	0	10
		% within Section	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	L	Count	8	2	5	2	17
		% within Section	47.1%	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%	100.0%
	М	Count	6	4	2	1	13
		% within Section	46.2%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	77	36	47	25	185
		% within Section	41.6%	19.5%	25.4%	13.5%	100.0%

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS	Results across MathP 146 (n = 139 SpFall2019, W2020) (Sp2020 - n = 23, Su2020 - n = 17, Fall2020 - n = 13)	
Have you taken a college math course before	18% Yes (26%, 29%, 31%)	33% Yes
How long ago did you take your last math class?	53% in the past year (65%, 29%,46%) 15% in the past two years (4%, 6%, 8%) 33% it's been more than two years (26%. 59%, 38%)	63% in the past year 12% in the past two years 25% it's been more than two years
Where did you take your last math class?	42% Highline (52%, 35%, 38%) 38% High school (39%, 18% 38%)	27% Highline 53% High school
How many quarters have you been at Highline?	48% 3 or less (39%, 53%, 46%) 24% 4 to 6 (35%, 6%, 8%) 9% 7 to 9 (9%. 12%, 15%) 9% 10 to 12 (9%, 18%, 15%)	53% 3 or less 23% 4 to 6 14% 7 to 9 4% 10 to 12

	8% more than 12 (10%, 6%,		
	15%)		
	4% 15 – 17 <mark>(4%, 0%, 7%)</mark>	26% 15-17	
	22% 18-19 (17%. 12%, 15%)	29% 18-19	
	31% 20-24 <mark>(22%,6%, 8%)</mark>	25% 20-24	
Approvimate age	18% 25-29 <mark>(4%, 29%, 31%)</mark>	8% 25-29	
Approximate age	10% 30-34 <mark>(26%, 29%, 8%)</mark>	11% 30-34	
	6% 35-39 <mark>(4%, 0%, 8%)</mark>	0% 35-39	
	9% 40 or above (22% n = 5, 18%,	1% 40 or above	
	23%)		
	30% less than 12 (30%, 71%,	32% less than 12	
How many credits did	38%)	68% 12 or more	
you enroll in this term?	70% 12 or more (70%, 29%,		
	62%)		
Self-identified	11% "white" only <mark>(9%, n = 2, 6%,</mark>	26% "white" only	
race/ethnicity	8%)		
Self-identified gender	75% female (74%, 65%, 62%)	69% female	
CONCLUSION: MathP			
students tend to be			
older, and less likely to			
have taken last math in			
HS.			

HCMI SURVEY

The purpose of this survey is to obtain information that will help improve instruction and delivery of MathP 146. This survey is anonymous and your responses will not be shared individually with your instructor. The information you provide will remain strictly confidential and will not identify you in any way, shape or form.

For the following questions, circle only one response and do not place marks between responses.

	Agree Strongly	Agree Somewhat	Disagree Somewhat	Disagree Strongly
1. I feel I have mastered the basic concepts and skills covered in this course	4	3	2	1
2. The topics in this course are useful to me in my to career choice (NOT REMOVED)	р 4	3	2	1
3. The extra time in this course was essential to helping me succeed	4	3	2	1
4. Before I took MathP 146, I was confident in my ability to succeed in the course.	4	3	2	1
5. This course helped me learn how to study and be more successful in statistics	4	3	2	1
6. What I learned in this class is useful in my daily life	e 4	3	2	1
 I am glad I chose to take MathP 146 corequisite course (Math& 146 + Math87) 	4	3	2	1
8. I recommend the course stays at 10 credits	4	3	2	1
9. The workload in this course was unreasonable	4	3	2	1
10. In this class, I learned ideas or concepts that connect to my culture or community	4	3	2	1
11. I had enough time outside of class to complete the work for this class	4	3	2	1
12. The course materials for this course helped me succeed	4	3	2	1
13. I had difficulty paying for the class	4	3	2	1

	Agree Strongly	Agree Somewhat	Disagree Somewhat	Disagree Strongly			
14. I am confident I will pass MathP 146 with a2.0 or higher	4	3	2	1			
15. If the class met less often, I would still be successful	4	3	2	1			
16. Students in this class helped each other	4	3	2	1			
17. The material in this course was interesting to me	4	3	2	1			
18. What I learned in this class is directly relevant to my program of study	4	3	2	1			
19. Overall I am satisfied with this course	4	3	2	1			
20. I recommend the course be reduced to 8 credits and meet less often	4	3	2	1			
Optional Questions: We appreciate your willingness to prov	vide us with thi	s additional info	ormation				
1. Have you ever taken a college-level math course in	the past?	Yes No	Unsure				
If yes, what was the name of the class?							
2. How long ago did you take your last math class prio	or to MathP 14	6? (circle one)					
In the past year In the past two years	lt's been n	nore than two y	/ears				
3. Where did you take that class? (Circle one)							
Highline High School	Other (Ple	ease Explain)					
4. What was the name of the last math class you took	What was the name of the last math class you took before MathP 146?						
5. How many quarters have you been at Highline? (cir	cle one)						
3 or less 4-6 7-9 10-12 N	Nore than 12						
6. Approximate Age (circle one) 15-17 18-19 2	20-24 25-29	30-34 35-39	40 or above				
7. How many credits did you enroll in this quarter? (ci	ircle one): less	s than 12 credit	s 12 or mor	e			
credits							
8. How do you self-identify in terms of race/ethnicity?	How do you self-identify in terms of race/ethnicity?						
9. How do you self-identify in terms of gender?							

10. What advice would you offer to another student who was considering taking a corequisite MathP 146 (Math 87 + Math& 146 combined) math course? (Please provide any additional comments)