

# 2016-2017 Mission Fulfillment Report

## **Definition of Mission Fulfillment**

Highline Community College defines mission fulfillment as meeting at least 80% of benchmarks across all of the core theme objectives.

	Mission Fulfillment Summary	10/13 met (77%)
Core Theme 1:	Promote student engagement, learning and achievement.	3/3 objectives met
Core Theme 2:	Integrate and institutionalize diversity and globalism throughout the college.	3/4 objectives met
Core Theme 3:	Build valuable relationships and establish a meaningful presence within Highline Community College's communities.	2/3 objectives met
Core Theme 4:	Model sustainability in human resources, operations, and teaching and learning.	2/3 objectives met

#### Mission Fulfillment UNMET (3/3 objectives met)

#### Promote student engagement, learning and achievement.

Highline is a learning community where students are empowered to pursue their own educational pathways through innovative curricula, quality instruction and student services. Students engage with their peers and community to experience multiple perspectives, practice civic responsibility, and contribute to the global community.

<b>Objective 1 -</b> Stu	dents engage with their curriculum, campus, and community for a	meaningful educ	cational experien	ce.	Met (100%)
<i>Indicator 1.1 - S</i> outside of the class	2016	2012	2010	Benchmark	
Measure 1.1A	CCSSE "Student-Faculty Interaction" score	51	54	54	50 (national norm)
<i>Indicator 1.2</i> - Students participate in organizations and activities that provide support, mentoring, or leadership opportunities on campus and in the community, allowing them to experience multiple perspectives, practice civic responsibility, and contribute to the global community.					
Measure 1.2A	Percent of students who participate in student organizations		24%	25%	20% (CCSSE national sample)
Indicator 1.3 - S	Indicator 1.3 - Students experience HC courses as challenging and engaging.		2012	2010	
Measure 1.3A	CCSSE "Academic Challenge/Active & Collaborative Learning" Composite score	53	54	55	50 (national norm)

<b>Objective 2 -</b> D	Met (100%)					
students.						
Indicator 2.1 - F	Indicator 2.1 - Faculty continually plan, assess, and improve teaching and					
curricula based or	curricula based on assessment of student learning.		2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark	
	Percent of departments that document an improvement plan					
Measure 2.1A	based on a department discussion of annual assessment and	N/A (new)			Pending	
	improvement data.					
Measure 2.1B	Percent of assessed students who met the course learning	77%	79%	75%	Pending	
measure 2.1D	outcomes.	11/0	1970	1 3 %	rending	

Indicator 2.2 - F	aculty and Student Services personnel provide effective support.				
Measure 2.2A   CCSSE "Support for Learners" scores		51	53	52	50 (national norm)
		2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	
Measure 2.2B	Percent of first level academic probation students who do NOT move to second level probation in the second quarter.	47% (n=447)	46% (n=449)	45% (n=392)	42%

<b>Objective 3 -</b> St	tudents achieve their goals by progressing on educational pathways.				Met (80%)
Indicator 3.1 - A college level court	Advanced Basic Skills students will transition from non-credit to rses.	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark
Measure 3.1A	college-level courses during the academic year.		4% (n=1640)	5% (n=1652)	10% (internal)
	Degree- or certificate- seeking students will progress through tional milestones.				
Measure 3.2A	Percentage of eligible students who attain 15 college-level credits within the current year.	49% (n=4630)	52% (n=4636)	50% (n=4694)	45% (internal)
Measure 3.2B	Percentage of eligible students who attain five college-level credits in quantitative reasoning within current year.	25% (n=2368)	28% (n=2344)	26% (n=2308)	25% (internal)
Measure 3.2C	Percentage of eligible students who attain 45 credits in current		33% (n=2076)	33% (n=2010)	30% (internal)
Indicator 3.3 – 1	Degree- or certificate- seeking students will attain credentials.				
Measure 3.3A	IPEDS graduation rate of full-time, first-time degree-seeking students within six years.	30% (n=442)	33% (n=434)	32% (n=463)	28% (WA)

#### SAI Student Group Definitions

**3.1A** Advanced Basic Skills students include levels ABE3-4 and ESL4-5. Transition means that a student enrolled in ABE3-4 or ESL4-5 in the *current or previous* year has attempted college-level credits during the year shown.

3.2A 15 College-level Credits - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had no prior college level credits

3.2B Quant Point - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had some prior college level credits but no college-level Math

3.3A 45 College-level Credits - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had 15+ prior college level credits

**3.3B** Current 2016-17 IPEDS graduation cohort entered during fall of 2011.

## Mission Fulfillment MET (3/4 objectives met)

#### Integrate and institutionalize diversity and globalism throughout the college.

Highline is an institution in which issues of diversity and globalism are central to decision-making, integrated throughout curriculum and pedagogy, and considered in all interactions among faculty, staff and students. Faculty and staff are culturally competent, all students progress and achieve at comparable rates, and a positive campus climate exists for all.

Ŭ	<b>Objective 1</b> - Diversity and globalism are infused throughout the curriculum; faculty employ a pedagogy that integrates diversity and globalism							Met (100%)	
<i>Indicator 1.1</i> - Diversity and globalism are integrated broadly across the curriculum.			2016-17		2015-16		2014-15	Benchmark	
Measure 1.1AThe percentage of courses with student learning that link to the College Wide Outcome on diver (courses).			N/A		4	21% (n=1606)	23% (n=1487)	35% (internal)	
experience positi	<i>Indicator 1.2</i> - Students from diverse backgrounds experience positive interactions with faculty in and outside classroom.		Asian/ Pacific Isl	Hispar Latin		Caucasian/ White	Native American**	Benchmark	
Measure 1.2A	CCSSE "Student-Faculty Interaction" score (2016)*	54	50	51		53	66	50 (national norm)	
	<i>Indicator 1.3</i> – Students from diverse backgrounds will experience HCC courses as challenging and engaging.								
Measure 1.3A	CCSSE "Academic Challenge/Active &Collaborative Learning" Composite score (2016)*	58	54	51		54	52	50 (national norm)	

<b>Objective 2</b> - Student support and business services initiatives successfully meet the needs of students from diverse backgrounds.							
<i>Indicator 2.1</i> - Support and business services are effectively/successfully delivered to ethnically diverse			Asian/	Hispanic/	Caucasian/	Native	
students.		African/ Black	Pacific Isl	Latino	White	American**	Benchmark
Measure 2.1A	CCSSE "Support for Learners"	63	52	48	51	56	50

\* CCSSE Results are not evaluated for small groups (n < 50) and should be interpreted with caution.

\*\* 4 Native American students filled out the CCSSE in 2016.

<b>Objective 3</b> – St	udents from diverse backgrounds progress,	achieve goals	, and complete	degrees/certi	ficates.			<b>Unmet (70%)</b>
from diverse back	egree- and certificate-seeking students grounds achieve significant milestones at to relevant comparison groups. (2016-17)	African/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Isl	Hispanic / Latino	Caucasian/ White	Native American	Inter- national	Benchmark
Measure 3.1A	Percentage of eligible students who attain 15 college-level credits within the current year	40% (n=800)	59% (n=786)	51% (n=650)	55% (n=1342)	33% (n=27)	57% (n=411)	45% (internal)
Measure 3.1B	Percentage of eligible students who attain 5 college-level credits in quantitative reasoning within the current year	22% (n=360)	31% (n=382)	26% (n=385)	25% (n=783)	19% (n=16)	45% (n=654)	25% (internal)
Indicator 3.2 – S	tudents from diverse backgrounds complete	e degrees and	certificates at 1	ates compara	ble to relevant c	omparison grou	ıps. (2016-17	)
Measure 3.2A	Percentage of eligible students who attain 45 credits within the current year	28% (n=289)	37% (n=393)	27% (n=334)	35% (n=682)	22% (n=9)	49% (n=398)	30% (internal)
Measure 3.2B	IPEDS graduation rate of full-time, first-time degree-seeking students within 6 years (2011 fall cohort)	13% (n = 61)	44% (n=62)	24% (n = 45)	34% (n = 184)	33% (n = 3)	54% (n = 158)	28% (WA)

Note: Progress data are not evaluated for small groups (n < 50) and should be interpreted with caution.

#### SAI Student Group Definitions

3.1A 15 College-level Credits - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had no prior college level credits

3.1B Quant Point - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had some prior college level credits but no college-level Math

3.2A 45 College-level Credits - Transfer/Prof Tech/ RS who had 15+ prior college level credits

3.2B International graduation rate calculated manually; international students are generally not included in the IPEDS cohort due to variable coding

<b>Objective 4 -</b> The culturally competed	Met (100%)						
<i>Indicator 4.1</i> - Recruitment, hiring and retention of campus personnel are equitable and full-time employees show increasing diversity.			2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark	
Measure 4.1A	<i>Measure 4.1A</i> Percentage of people of color in full-time positions.		36%	35%	34%	1% increase/year (internal)	
<i>Indicator 4.2</i> - Employees from diverse backgrounds experience the campus climate as positive.		Of Co	olor	Caucasia	n/White	Benchmark	
Measure 4.2A	Measure 4.2A Rate of job satisfaction and positive campus climate		/0	79%		75% (internal)	

## Mission Fulfillment MET (2/3 objectives met)

#### Build valuable relationships and establish a meaningful presence within Highline College's communities.

Highline College, in collaboration with community partners, identifies community needs, develops, implements and maintains programs based on those identified needs.

<b>Objective 1</b> - The College communicates effectively with its communities.				
Indicator 1.1 - T	The community is aware of Highline's programs, offerings, and			
services.		2011	2004	Benchmark
Measure 1.1A	Rate of community members' awareness of College's transfer, workforce and basic skills mission elements as reported in Community Perception Survey.	88%	82%	80% (internal)

<b>Objective 2 -</b> T	The College initiates community connections to	understand con	munity needs.				Met (100%)
<i>Indicator 2.1</i> - The college actively offers a variety of programs and makes connections with external organizations.		2011			2004	Benchmark	
Measure 2.1ARates of agreement that the College is meeting the community's educational needs as reported in the Community Perception Survey.					50%	pending	
	<i>Indicator 2.2</i> - Participation rates reflect meaningful community connections and confidence in the quality of college offerings.			)16-17	20	)15-16	Benchmark
Measure 2.2A	Participation rate of degree-seeking students	in district	4.9%		4.7%		4.5%
		African/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Isl	Hispanic/ Latino	Caucasian/ White	Native American	Benchmark
Measure 2.2B	Participation rate of degree-seeking students by ethnic group (vs. district 2016)	17% (13%)	21% (21%)	15% (20%)	35% (44%)	1% (1%)	Students of color within 5% (internal)

<b>Objective 3 -</b> Highline College contributes to meeting community needs.						
	The college serves the ever-changing needs of our service	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark	
district.		2010-17	2015-10	2014-15	Denchinark	
Measure 3.1A	Number of annual community non-credit programmatic offerings (includes ESL classes, continuing education classes)	839	866	797	750	
Measure 3.1B	Number of community members served by community-responsive		9,201	22,124	pending	
Indicator 3.2 - T	The College meets regional workforce development needs.				Benchmark	
Measure 3.2A	Post-completion employment rate of students in workforce education programs	70%	73%	77%	74% (WA)	
Measure 3.2B	Percent of Advisory Committee members who agree that our workforce program curricula meet the needs of our community's employers	96% (n=72)	99% (n=40)	99% (n=70)	90%	

#### Model sustainability in human resources, operations, and teaching and learning.

Sustainability calls for policies, procedures and strategies that meet society's present needs without compromising the welfare of future generations. Sustainability encompasses the intertwined ideals of viable economies, social equity and ecological integrity. For the college, sustainability calls for us to use our resources – human, physical and financial – to improve the future success of the college, minimize our impact on the environment, and model sustainability for students.

<b>Objective 1</b> - The College recruits, retains and develops a highly qualified workforce.					
Indicator 1.1 - Staff and faculty actively pursue continuing professional					
development opportunities.		2015		2013	Benchmark
Measure 1.1A	Rate of agreement that employees have opportunities at work to learn and grow professionally.	69%		75%	75% (internal)
Indicator 1.2 - Current employees indicate satisfaction with working					
environment and campus climate.					
Measure 1.2A	Rate of job satisfaction and positive campus climate.	80%		91%	75% (internal)
Indicator $1.3$ – Employees are retained by the College.		2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	
Measure 1.3A	Short-term (2-year) attrition rate of full-time employees.	14%	20%	15%	8% (internal)

<b>Objective 2</b> - The College demonstrates good stewardship of financial resources while ensuring sufficient resources will be available in the future.					
<i>Indicator 2.1</i> - The College maintains sufficient financial resources to both maintain programs and to remain strategic in times of financial downturn.		2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark
Measure 2.1A	Percent of general operations budget maintained as operating reserves	10%	10%	10%	10% (internal)
<i>Indicator 2.2</i> - The College maintains strong internal controls over assets and ensures compliance to college and state procedures.					
Measure 2.2A	Number of annual audit findings	0	0	0	0 (internal)
<i>Indicator 2.3</i> - The College ensures continuing alignment of fiscal resources to meet current operating needs.					
Measure 2.3A	Attainment of SBCTC FTE target allocation	100%	100%	101%	100%
Measure 2.3B	Attainment of internal tuition revenue target	108%	104%	104%	100%

<b>Objective 3 -</b> The College demonstrates stewardship of environmental resources.					
<i>Indicator 3.1</i> - The College encourages awareness and use of 'green' practices in its working environments.		2016-17	2015-16	2014-15	Benchmark
Measure 3.1A	Percent reduction in annual waste stream (landfill tonnage) from baseline.	19% (132 tons)	12% (143 tons)	12% (143 tons)	5% below baseline
Measure 3.1B	Percent reduction in annual total energy consumption (electricity and fossil fuels combined) from baseline.	12% (69,741p MBTUs)	20% (62,289p MBTUs)	27% (57,288p MBTUs)	2% below baseline